

ORGAN TRAFFICKING UNDER THE GUISE OF CIRCUMCISION

Douglas O'Connor, DEA, *Donor Equality Advocate*


Analysis for an ongoing enterprise of organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision

INTRODUCTION

Since the late 19th century to this present day American medical professionals have entered a conspiracy to engage in organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision. This research paper analyzes an ongoing enterprise of organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision that has operated with impunity in the United States and abroad to the detriment of adults, neonates and the public at large.

BACKGROUND

The founder of Judaism and the Twelve Tribes of Israel, Abraham, had a spiritual experience that compelled him to perform a simple excision to the foreskin of his son, Issac, eight days after his birth. This ritual penile excision was called circumcision.¹ A significant portion of the neonate prepuce was still attached to the penis after circumcision. (*image courtesy of www.modernalternativemama.com*)



After the inception of circumcision in Judaism warfare is conducted against uncircumcised men under the guise of circumcision by a Judaic patriarch, Jacob.² The adult victims of Jacob's ambush were unable to defend themselves during their recovery from circumcision. A strict requirement to perform circumcision eight days after male birth was codified in the book of Leviticus.³ From the inception of Abraham's Judaic circumcision to around 150 A.D. circumcision, a simple excision, was typically performed by the father of the male neonate eight days after his birth. Neonate circumcision was exempted for Judaic men born in the wilderness during the forty year migration from Egypt to Israel. Joshua, constructed flint knives and performed numerous circumcisions on adult males prior to the battle of Jericho.⁴ The simplicity of circumcision allowed these military age men to recover in a few days and engage in strenuous physical activity, marching with instruments around the walls of Jericho.⁵

Two incidents in the Bible give insight into the disposal of post-circumcision foreskins. During the Exodus of Moses his wife Zipporah, circumcised their son with a flint knife and discarded his detached foreskin at the feet of Moses during a spiritual ceremony.⁶ Prior to becoming King of Israel David and his army killed two hundred Philistines, removed their foreskins and brought two hundred detached foreskins to King Saul in order to satisfy a dowry.⁷

ROMANS OCCUPY ISRAEL AND OFFER PENILE COSMETIC SURGERY

In 63 B.C. Israel-Jerusalem was conquered and occupied by Pompey, a Roman general.⁸ Judaic men integrated into the Greco-Roman lifestyle. Participants in Greco-Roman athletic events were required to be nude. Greco-Roman culture viewed a display of any portion of penile glans equivalent to an act of indecent exposure. In response Judaic men opted for non-surgical and surgical methods of foreskin restoration. A penile cosmetic surgery, decircumcision⁹, was offered by Celsus, a Greek physician. A 1980 research paper, Celsus' Decircumcision Operation Medical and Historical Implications,¹⁰ explored Celsus's decircumcision procedure.

Around 150 A.D. regime change occurred in Judaism. The new regime required serious changes to circumcision, a simple excision. In Hebrew circumcision has been known as **“Bris Milah”**. The new regime mandated a very dangerous step, **“Bris Periah”**, a manual laceration of the neonate prepuce past the glans of the penis and onto the penile shaft. The postpartum eighth day requirement remained the same for Bris Periah. Other elements of Judaic neonate genital cutting were changed.¹¹

These changes included :

- A) the father no longer performs circumcision, a simple excision.
- B) The role of the father is replaced by a mohel, a surgeon with a perceived spiritual standing
- C) Bris Periah requires removal of a majority of the prepuce past the glans of the penis
- D) At this time mohels have sharpened fingernails in order to perform this prepuce tearing manually.
- E) the new regime enforces these surgical changes through coercive spiritual threats.

Incorporation of Bris Periah resulted in numerous complications including but not limited to bleeding, deformed genitals, infection and neonate death. Around 600 A.D. mohels and rabbis incorporated a new required step, Bris metzitzah B'peh, direct oral suction to the bleeding neonate penis. As time went on mohels orally administered hemostatics such as wine or topically administered hemostatic herbal preparations to a bleeding neonate penis in order to induce clotting. Gilded Age Surgeon, P.C. Remondino, provided balanced medical insight into Bris metzitzah B'peh in **Chapter 13, Mezizah, The Fourth or Objectionable Act of Suction, History of Circumcision.**¹² pgs 150-160

Despite the fall of the Roman empire the science of skin-grafting was preserved in Italy until skin-grafting made its way into mainstream American and European medicine in the 19th century. A senior thesis paper, Isodermic Grafting¹³ by John William Wahl, a University of Nebraska Omaha College of Medicine student in 1944, has provided a detailed history of Italian surgeons performing plastic surgery with skin-grafts during the Renaissance era. Accounts of these Italian plastic surgeons began around 1442 and end around 1597. Wahl's historical research into Italian plastic surgeons is widely recognized in the present day. Research about Galius Taliacotius (1545-1599) of Bologna is readily available online on the JAMA Network, a widely recognized source of medical research.¹⁴ Wahl indicated these Italian surgeons used their patients' own skin as the donor source, an auto-graft.

INCEPTION OF AN ONGOING ORGAN TRAFFICKING CONSPIRACY

In the early 19th century European surgeons rediscovered skin-grafts. An Italian surgeon, Giuseppe Baronio, was documented as experimenting with skin-graft in 1804.¹⁵ In 1869¹⁶ Swiss surgeon, Jaques-Luis Reverdin, was credited for the first successful organ transplantation, a skin-graft. Medical ethical standards of the 18th and 19th centuries in the Anglosphere were low. Cadaver theft for profit aka **“Resurrectionism”** was an open practice. These conspiracies involved medical school conspiring with their own students or third parties to obtain recently buried cadavers for medical school anatomy.¹⁷ Resurrectionists operated in the British Isles and United States until the late 1889 according to the Congressional Cemetery.¹⁸ Since the late 19th century cadavers have obtained legal protections.¹⁹

As **“Resurrectionism”** declined in the Anglosphere a more lucrative conspiracy for medical professionals emerged, organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision. On October 04, 1884 *The Lancet* published an article, **ON PREPUCE GRAFTING**, by R. Clement Lucas.²⁰ R.C. Lucas, a British surgeon, expressed the problem, a consistent donor source for skin-graft recipients, burn and trauma victims. Lucas claimed the most consistent supply was male neonates with **“phimosis”**. Lucas does a case study of a burn victim treated with neonate prepuce skin grafts. Lucas concluded, **“On the other hand, I believe the prepuce of a child possesses a germinal vitality, which renders it peculiarly serviceable for grafting.”** Lucas solicited other surgeons to enter an emerging international conspiracy, organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision. In 1885 another British surgeon, Chauncey Purvey, was cited in a London *Lancet* publication that supported the findings of Lucas in 1884.²¹

By 1891 American surgeons openly entered this international conspiracy, organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision. A Civil War surgeon with Italian ancestry from the Bologna region²², Peter Charles Remondino, aka P.C. Remondino wrote a book, **History of Circumcision**.¹² Remondino provided relevant historical information in Gilded Age vernacular. Remondino pathologized the male prepuce in significant portions of his book in order to achieve his stated objective of obtaining male neonate prepuces for the benefit of his clients, skin-graft recipients. Remondino stated on page 207 his book, **“Puzey, of Liverpool, has found it of extreme value, and even unequaled by any other part of the body, for furnishing skin-grafts,⁸¹ these grafts showing a vitality that is simply phenomenal, considering the laxity of its tissues and its seemingly adipose character. There is no doubt, however, that for skin-transplanting there is nothing superior to the plants offered by the prepuce of a boy.”**

On page 208 his book Remondino expressed a disadvantage to mass prepuce harvesting male prepuces under the guise of circumcision. Remondino stated, **“This is really the only disadvantage that can be charged against circumcision, as in a strictly circumcised community they would be debarred from this great advantage. An uncircumcised individual could be procured, however, to supply the deficiency.”** Remondino admitted to a conspiracy with unknown does to racially profile potential donor sources on this same page by stating, **“We have no data to base any assertions as to the relative action of skin-grafts taken from Mongolians or Indians, but we have very reliable data in relation to the proliferating action of those of the negro,⁸² which induces a growth of epidermis of its own kind ; so that preputial grafts from the negro combining the extra vitality and proliferation of the preputial tissue.”** In 1894 Remondino publicly stated, *“From our observations and experience in such cases, we feel fully warranted in suggesting the wholesale circumcision of the Negro race as an efficient remedy in preventing the predisposition to discriminate raping.”*²³

Lucas and Remondino used the correct anatomical term, prepuce, for the body part they conspired to harvest and traffic for skin-grafts. They appropriated the term, *circumcision*, in order to intentionally mislead the general public. Remondino used an Italian Renaissance era illustration of the Holy Circumcision of Jesus from his private collection in order to intentionally mislead the general public that male prepuce procurement for skin-grafts was the circumcision procedure performed in the Biblical era. The absence of illustrations or pictures in the Bible has enabled this ongoing deception. This misleading bait-and-switch has been used recently by prominent subsequent co-conspirators.²⁴

ANTITRUST LAW INCEPTED DURING THE GILDED AGE

The Sherman Act of 1890 was codified into federal law.²⁵ The Sherman Act has prohibited anti-competitive conspiracies including but not limited to bid-rigging and price-fixing. At the inception of this organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision conspiracy there were no laws prohibiting the purchase or sale of human organs for transplant. The previous conspiracies of medical professionals and **“Resurrectionists”** established a precedent for competition to obtain a good, human cadavers.

All conspirators in the ongoing organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision conspiracy may have entered a parallel anti-competitive conspiracy, bid rigging. At the inception of this organ trafficking conspiracy in the Gilded Age there were no legal prohibitions to buy or sell human organs for transplant until 1984. After these surgeons discovered the value of a commodity, male neonate prepuces for skin-grafting. There have been legal obligations for these conspirators to:

- A) Provide transparency to the general public about the value of their desired good, male neonate prepuces for skin-grafting.
- B) Compete against one another on price in order to obtain the desired good, male neonate prepuces for skin-grafts.

Remondino had all the characteristics of an anti-competitive co-conspirator in the medical field. Federal anti-trust laws have evolved to this present day. Established anti-trust precedents have subjected regulated services including but not limited to medical services and transportation to the jurisdiction of anti-trust laws. There have been numerous price-fixing conspiracies prosecuted against medical professionals in the United States.²⁶ These prosecuted medical price-fixing cases have often involved medical trade associations. Bid-rigging is the opposite of price-fixing.

Until 1984 there were no laws prohibiting the purchase or sale of human organs for transplant. In short a business man publicly announced his business plan to become an organ broker by auctioning human organs for transplant and taking a cut. In short within a year Congress of the United States legislated to prohibit organ brokering for profit. This legislation provided regulations for organ transplants. The organ in question that started the controversy and 1984 legislation was the kidney.

In short the 1984 federal legislation mandated that recipients of human organs must pay the medical costs of a donor. Despite the passing of laws that considered skin and any subpart an organ the ongoing bid-rigging conspiracy under the guise of circumcision has continued to harm male neonates and adult male donors. American medical professionals have conspired to maintain artificially lower prices for male prepuces with the guise of recycling biological waste after **“circumcision”**.

Organ Trafficking Under the Guise of Circumcision In the Early 20th Century

In 1909 ongoing interracial isograft skin-grafting experiments with black and white donors-recipients at John Hopkins University discover skin pigmentation adapts to the pigment of the recipient.²⁷ By 1924 a German surgeon, Kubanyi, demonstrated that skin-grafts from infants or embryonal tissues had a higher success rate.²⁸ By the early 20th century the terms donor and recipient were universally recognized among skin-grafting surgeons. In the early 20th century co-conspirators began incorporating significant linguistic changes in order to conceal their ongoing enterprise. The correct anatomical term, prepuce, was phased out and replaced with foreskin in order to mislead the general public into believing victims of male neonate organ harvesting and trafficking were having their foreskin removed in a manner similar to Biblical or Torah circumcisions. They fraudulently claimed harvested prepuces have been unwanted discarded foreskins.

On November 05, 1936 Dr. Frank Ashley, employed at Wyckoff Heights Hospital in Brooklyn, New York, solicited other surgeons in the Brooklyn Surgical Society to enhance their conspiracy, organ trafficking of neonatal prepuces *aka* **“foreskin”** under the guise of circumcision. Ashley stated that refrigeration allowed a neonatal prepuce on ice with saline to be skin-graft viable for two weeks. Ashley and his co-conspirators at Wyckoff Heights Hospital formed a black market organ bank with neonate prepuces trafficked under the guise of circumcision. One case study involves a young boy with two partially amputated toes from a train track accident. 27 neonate prepuces were harvested and trafficked under the guise of circumcision for one amputation victim’s skin-grafts. The article, **FORESKINS AS SKIN GRAFTS**, was submitted for publication on November 18, 1936 to the *Annals of Surgery* and published in August 1937.²⁹

Ashley used both terms, prepuce and foreskin, in his speech and research paper. The term, prepuce, was used eight times. The term, foreskin, was used twenty-nine times including the title of the research paper. Ashley also used the terms, donor and recipient. Ashley contradicted himself about the outcome of trafficked male neonate prepuces. In his introductory paragraph Ashley stated, **“These are usually discarded”**, in reference to male neonate prepuces *aka* **“foreskin”**. On the second page and paragraph Ashley states, **“All the foreskins that were available were used.”** and **“About 90 percent of the foreskins that we transplanted were preserved in this manner before they were used.”**

Co-conspirators continued to eliminate the term, prepuce, from their vernacular and replace it with foreskin. Another research article, **FORESKIN ISOGRAFTS***, *American Journal of Surgery*, - May 1943 by Allan E. Sachs and Samuel L. Goldberg³⁰ demonstrates the linguistic transition. This article, **FORESKIN ISOGRAFTS*** used the term, foreskin, exclusively except for one instance. The authors stated, *“Preputial grafts were used for two reasons. They were easily obtainable because of the number of ritual circumcisions performed at the hospital, and also because the authors believed that the skin of infants has greater growth potential than that of adults.”* Goldman and Sachs criticized the lack of transparency about the quantity of male neonate prepuces for skin-grafts in their own industry by stating, **“The only report of any number of foreskin grafts is that of Ashley.”** Goldman and Sachs stated later in their paper, *“We used about sixty foreskins”*. The surgeons stated, *“we were confronted with the necessity of obtaining skin from other sources. We turned to the use of foreskins.”*

Organ Trafficking Under the Guise of Circumcision, Post WWII to 1984

The post World War II era brought significant changes to the ongoing enterprise of organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision. The United Kingdom aka Great Britain formed the National Health Service, NHS. Neonate “*circumcision*” has not been offered by NHS since 1948.³¹ After the Britain’s exit from the conspiracy the United States of America has been the leading worldwide purveyor of organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision to this present day.

There were great strides for publicly acknowledged organ-tissue and donation-transplants in the United States. In 1949 the first publicly acknowledged tissue bank, United States Navy Tissue Bank was founded.³² In the 1954 the concept of organ donation through another organ, kidney, was made public.³³ Despite ongoing virtue signaling about organ-tissue donation medical professionals and surgeons have conspired to cover-up harvesting and trafficking of the most viable human organ for transplant, prepuces from male neonates, minors and adults.

During this era it is highly likely hospitals began wide scale industrialization to harvest male neonate prepuces shortly after birth and not eight days after birth as specified in the Bible or Torah for neonate circumcision. This has placed male neonate victims at a greater risk of excessive bleeding. Neonate Vitamin K levels are insufficient immediately after birth. In 1961 American pediatricians incorporated the so-called “*Vitamin K*” shot in the standard of care for neonates of both genders under the guise of protection from a rare blood disorder that prevents clotting. These co-conspirators encountered a greater risk of bleeding problems compared to mohels performing Bris Periah and Bris metzitzah B’peh by disregarding the 8th day rule of the Bible-Torah. (see ***Chapter 13, Mezizah, The Fourth or Objectionable Act of Suction, History of Circumcision***.¹²) A hemostatic agent was needed to induce clotting after post partem male neonate prepuce harvesting.

From the 1950’s to 1970’s there were significant cellular discoveries in male neonate prepuces intentionally misconstrued as foreskins. The high rate of male neonate prepuces trafficked under the guise of circumcision created unused excesses. Some trafficked male neonate, minor and adult prepuces became subjects in cellular and genetic research. During this era researchers discovered three distinct types of cells to isolate, replicate and traffic from male neonate prepuces:

- 1) Fibroblasts,³⁴ the key ingredient in notorious cosmetic “Penis facials” for celebrities³⁵
- 2) melanocytes,³⁶ a skin pigment cell used for research and “**cell grafts**” for skin grafts
- 3) Keratinocytes,³⁷ used in wound care products such as Apligraf³⁸ or Dermagraft³⁹, a patch used for diabetic or venous ulcers, manufactured by a publicly traded for-profit entity, Organogenesis⁴⁰.

Online research indicates male neonate fibroblasts were discovered first. A 1966 research article, **Clonal variation of depressed phosphatase in chromosomally mosaic cell cultures from a child with Down’s Syndrome**⁴¹ The prepuce fibroblasts of white male neonates with Down’s Syndrome were compared to a control group of prepuce fibroblasts from white male neonates without Down’s Syndrome. Since this discovery numerous cosmetic and medical products exploiting male neonate prepuce fibroblasts in commercial for for-profit conspiracies have been operated in plain sight under the guise of recycling biological waste, leftover “*foreskin*” after “*circumcision*”.

A 1973 research article, **The Epidermal Melanocyte System in Newborn Human Skin, a Quantitative Histologic Study**⁴² highlighted the discovery of melanocytes in male neonate prepuces. Melanocytes have been categorized based on pigment rating and sold by for-profit entities. Neonate melanocytes merged into cell lines can sell for \$ 6,440.00 at the time of this paper.⁴³

According to an online source³⁴ the breakthrough with neonate keratinocytes did not occur until 1975. Two researchers from MIT, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, wrote a research paper, **Serial Cultivation of Strains of Human Epidermal Keratinocytes: the Formation of Keratinizing Colonies from Single Cells**⁴⁴ in 1975. This research paper documented a cohort study involving male prepuce cellular regeneration. The ages of the cohort are neonate, 3 years, 12 years and 34 years. Relevant age related information is on pages 3 through 5. “*The number of generations is inversely related to the age of the donor (Hayflick 1965)*” was cited on page 3 of this research paper.

On January 21, 1976 the Coriell Institute in Camden, New Jersey obtained minced “foreskin” from a three day old victim likely born on January 18, 1976.⁴⁵ To this present day the fibroblast cell line has been for sale and involved in countless cited scientific publications. Mincing male neonate prepuces was a common technique described in skin-grafting papers since 1884.²⁰ (R.C. Lucas) In the 1970’s the AAP, American Academy of Pediatrics, had a series of inconsistent actions and statements against or for so-called “infant circumcision”.⁴⁵

NATIONAL ORGAN TRANSPLANT ACT, NOTA, OF 1984

In 1984 Congress-United States of America passed *NOTA, National Organ Transplant Act*.⁴⁷ Skin has been considered an organ since 1984 under **42 USC 274 (e)**⁴⁸

“SEC. 301. 42 U.S.C. 274e (a) It shall be unlawful for any person to knowingly acquire, receive, or otherwise transfer any human organ for valuable consideration for use in human transplantation if the transfer affects interstate commerce. the preceding sentence does not apply with respect to human organ paired donation. (b) Any person who violates subsection (a) shall be fined not more than \$50,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. (c) For purposes of subsection (a):

(1) *The term “human organ” means the human (including fetal) kidney, liver, heart, lung, pancreas, bone marrow, cornea, eye, bone, and skin or any subpart thereof and any other human organ (or any subpart thereof, including that derived from a fetus) specified by the Secretary of Health and Human Services by regulation.*

(2) *The term “valuable consideration” does not include the reasonable payments associated with the removal, transportation, implantation, processing, preservation, quality control, and storage of a human organ or the expenses of travel, housing, and lost wages incurred by the donor of a human organ in connection with the donation of the organ.*

In response to NOTA the late Edgar Schoen was appointed head of the AAP and his pedophile poem, ***“Ode to the Circumcised Male”*** is published in a 1986 medical journal.⁴⁹ His employer, Kaiser Permanente, has approved of his fraudulent and pedophile behavior. Edgar Schoen, a retired yet competent adult, wrote a book, *Circumcision, Sex, GOD and Science*²⁴ On the back cover of this book Schoen stated, ***“This book documents the evidence of major health benefits of this biblical procedure.”*** Schoen acknowledged and appropriated P.C. Remondino is his 2006 book.

Organ Trafficking Under the Guise of Circumcision In the 21st Century

Online sales of human organs, skin subparts of male neonate prepuces or male adult prepuces⁵⁰ marketed as foreskins, have been readily available for sale by publicly traded entities such as Thermo-Fischer Scientific. Neonate “foreskin” fibroblasts, neonate foreskin keratinocytes, neonate foreskin melanocytes. Co-conspirators in the ongoing enterprise have been able to isolate all three of the aforementioned skin subparts for the purpose of topical transplants. Neonate fibroblasts can be laundered into a topical transplant product with the objective of cosmetic use. Skin Medica, the notorious Oprah Winfrey – Sandra Bullock have openly endorsed topical transplants derived from skin subparts, neonate fibroblasts, under the guise of “Penis Facial”. These co-conspirators have claimed the neonate foreskins originated from South Korean donors.⁵¹

The topical transplant products Apligraf and Dermagraft are hybrid transplant products with a stated objective to heal wounds such as diabetic or venous ulcers. Both products use a preparation of skin subparts, neonate fibroblasts and keratinocytes mixed with bovine tissue subparts and are applied topically like a skin-graft transplant. Prior to ownership by Organogenesis Apligraf and Dermagraft were owned by Shire Pharmaceuticals. In 2017 there was a DOJ settlement over medicare fraud and kickbacks under the False Claims Act involving Dermagraft and its previous owner.⁵²

Products derived from skin subparts of male adult prepuces for transplants have also become of interest to this ongoing enterprise of organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision. In 2015 a UCLA medical student, Natalie Leong, theorized that subparts from adult foreskin, HFF aka human foreskin fibroblasts, could be used to compete against cadaver ACL transplants.⁵³ Recently another subpart of male adult and neonate prepuces, mesenchymal stromal cells aka MSC’s, have been discovered and subject to exploitation by the ongoing enterprise of organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision. A research paper, *Mesenchymal stromal cells from the foreskin: Tissue isolation, cell characterization and immunobiological properties* published in 2016 states in its abstract, ***“Foreskin, considered as a biological waste material, has been shown to be a reservoir of therapeutic cells.”***⁵⁴

In 2017 researchers published a stunning article, **Haematological Basis of 8th Day Male Child Circumcision in The Holy Bible**. The research discovered the natural Vitamin K level of a neonate will rise to its highest level on the eighth day after birth.⁵⁵ The Bible and Torah have called for circumcision to be performed on the eighth day after birth for thousands of years at this point.

In 2021 an infant rights activist, Anthony Losquadro, published the results a FOIA request that produced some stunning results. The fraudulent layering activities of the ongoing enterprise of organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision. Losquadro was able to obtain copies of the ***“need to know basis”*** contracts from Organogenesis to hospitals across the United States. These had all of the relevant information except for the sale price of the skin subparts, neonate prepuces⁵⁶ The Losquadro article has raised serious concerns. Does the concealment of this organ trafficking conspiracy through A) public representations a circumcision was performed, B) that removed prepuce skin is biological waste C) The false representation of recipients using the term, “donor” on specification sheets D) Refusal of the organ traffickers to provide the donor identities of any recipients and E) Failure to preserve donor to recipient records constitute layering activities associated with criminal activities including but not limited to fraud, money laundering and organ trafficking ?

LIVING DONOR LEGISLATION IN THE 21st CENTURY

Since the inception of the 21st century numerous states have codified living donor laws that require recipients of organ-tissue donations cover the medical costs of the donor, the donor's right to choose the recipient and in some cases lost wages. The age of consent of consent for living donors has been the age of 18 with an exemption for emergencies involving immediate family. During the past twenty years California codified laws for the rights of living donors. These have including are but not limited to *CA Health & Safety Code 7150.15*, *CA Health & Safety Code 7151.35*, *CA Health & Safety Code 7158.3 (b)(1)(2)(A)(B)(C)(4)*, *CA Health & Safety Code 7152.2 (e)* and *CA Labor Code 1510*, a requirement for employers over a certain size to provide paid leave for living donors.

On July 10, 2019 President Donald J. Trump issued An **Executive Order on Advancing American Kidney Health**.⁵⁷ Section 8 of this order stated, *"Supporting Living Organ Donors. Within 90 days of the date of this order, the Secretary shall propose a regulation to remove financial barriers to living organ donation. The regulation should expand the definition of allowable costs that can be reimbursed under the Reimbursement of Travel and Subsistence Expenses Incurred Toward Living Organ Donation program, raise the limit on the income of donors eligible for reimbursement under the program, allow reimbursement for lost-wage expenses, and provide for reimbursement of child-care and elder-care expenses."* At the onset of President Donald J. Trump's second term on March 6, 2025 funding for "circumcision" in Mozambique was terminated. President Trump called male circumcision in Mozambique a scam.⁵⁸

DONOR DISCRIMINATION AGAINST ADULT AND NEONATE MALE PREPUCE

Despite the donor value adult and neonate male prepuces aka foreskins have provided to millions of recipients since the 19th century the American medical industry has continued to cover-up and discriminate against adult and neonate male prepuces under the guise of circumcision in order to maintain the financial benefits of its ongoing anti-competitive, discriminatory and fraudulent conspiracies. There are too many online receipts available that have exposed the ongoing enterprise of organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision. The recipient conspirators of adult and neonate male prepuces have used the term "donor" on specification sheets for their commercial for-profit products yet refuse to comply with living donor laws that require recipients to cover the medical expenses, wages of the donor and honor a donor's right to choose recipients. A legal reckoning through litigation has begun. In Portland, Oregon litigation⁵⁹ over gender equality and genital cutting has begun.

In the state of California a minor victim of discrimination has one year to file after turning eighteen with the California Civil Rights Department for any discrimination that occurred during the minor's childhood.⁶⁰ Since the codified statutes for living donor rights have been on the books for a significant amount of time many of the male neonate victims will become legal adults at age 18 with a right to litigate in civil court for a minimum of two years and possibly up to four years under the California Business & Professions Code 17200 for unfair business practices. The days of this ongoing enterprise of organ trafficking under the guise of circumcision are numbered. Civil litigation and increased public awareness will end an era of male prepuce peonage in the United States and abroad.

References

¹ Genesis 21:4

² Genesis 34:13-34:25

³ Leviticus 12:3

⁴ Joshua 5:2-9

⁵ <https://bible.ucg.org/bible-commentary/Joshua/Second-generation-circumcised-and-Passover-kept-at-Gilgal/>

⁶ Exodus 4:24-4:26

⁷ 1 Samuel 18:27

⁸ <https://www.livius.org/articles/concept/roman-jewish-wars/>

⁹ <https://www.cirp.org/library/restoration/rubin/>

¹⁰ <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0090429580903544>

¹¹ <https://www.cirp.org/library/history/peron2/>

¹² <https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/author/25702>

¹³ <https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/mdtheses/1273/>

¹⁴ <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/343813>

¹⁵ <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7998351/>

¹⁶ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20508302/>

¹⁷ <https://www.thevintagenews.com/2016/11/20/body-snatchers-the-fresher-the-body-the-more-money-it-was-worth/>

¹⁸ <https://congressionalcemetery.org/blog/resurrection-men-body-snatching-and-grave-robbing-in-the-federal-city-part-2/>

¹⁹ <https://theravenreport.com/2017/09/18/welcome-to-the-grim-underworld-of-the-resurrectionists/>

²⁰ [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(02\)13814-1/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(02)13814-1/fulltext)

²¹ History of Circumcision – P.C. Remondino – Notes to Text **pg 328 # 81** (unable to locate Lancet publication at this time)

- ²² <https://sandiegohistory.org/archives/biographysubject/remondino/>
- ²³ <http://mail.circumstitions.com/remondino.html>
- ²⁴ <https://www.amazon.com/Ed-Schoen-Circumcision-Information-Professionals/dp/1571431233>
- ²⁵ <https://www.ftc.gov/advice-guidance/competition-guidance/guide-antitrust-laws/antitrust-laws>
- ²⁶ <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2008/12/ftc-settles-price-fixing-charges-against-two-separate-doctors-groups>
- ²⁷ <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC1407162/>
- ²⁸⁻²⁹ <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC1390499/>
- ³⁰ <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0002961043906609>
- ³¹ <http://circlist.com/rites/uk.html>
- ³² <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15256965/>
- ³³ <https://unos.org/transplant/history/>
- ³⁴ <https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB10770>
- ³⁵ https://www.huffpost.com/entry/penis-facial_n_5b02df5be4b0463cdba4a6fa
- ³⁶ <https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/C1025C>
- ³⁷ <https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB10772>
- ³⁸ <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2684073/>
- ³⁹ <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3623576/>
- ⁴⁰ https://finance.yahoo.com/quote/ORG0/?fr=sycsrp_catchall
- ⁴¹ <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/001448276690440X>
- ⁴² <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022202X15441788>
- ⁴³ <https://www.atcc.org/products/crl-4064>
- ⁴⁴ <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0092867475800018>
-

⁴⁵ https://catalog.coriell.org/0/Sections/Search/Sample_Detail.aspx?Ref=AG01523&Product=CC

⁴⁶ <https://www.cirp.org/library/statements/aap/>

⁴⁷ <https://www.organdonationalliance.org/glossary/national-organ-transplant-act/>

⁴⁸ <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/274e>

⁴⁹ <https://www.cirp.org/literature/schoen-ode.php>

⁵⁰ https://bioscience.lonza.com/lonza_bs/US/en/Primary-and-Stem-Cells/p/000000000000184914/NHDF-Ad---Human-Dermal-Fibroblasts%2C-Adult

⁵¹ <https://www.theroot.com/everybodys-talking-about-the-penis-facial-and-its-not-1823833696>

⁵² <https://www.justice.gov/archives/opa/pr/shire-plc-subsidaries-pay-350-million-settle-false-claims-act-allegations>

⁵³ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25363620/>

⁵⁴ <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1465324915011275>

⁵⁵

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321462229_Haematological_Basis_of_8th_Day_Male_Child_Circumcision_in_The_Holy_Bible

⁵⁶ <https://medium.com/@anthonylosquadro/circumcision-in-america-are-baby-boys-foreskins-for-sale-e0b79fadc8cb>

⁵⁷ <https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-advancing-american-kidney-health/>

⁵⁸ <https://www.knau.org/npr-news/2025-03-06/trump-says-male-circumcision-in-mozambique-is-a-scam-whats-the-program-about>

⁵⁹ <https://www.intactglobal.org/press/releases/2025-03-28-groundbreaking-constitutional-lawsuit-filed-against-the-state-of-oregon>

⁶⁰ <https://calcivilrights.ca.gov/>