

REAKTION

December 2010



Issue № 2

"It is humiliating to remain with our hands folded while others write history. It matters little who wins. To make a people great it is necessary to send them to battle even if you have to kick them in the pants."



Editor Jacob Horst



Don't vote! Quit voting!
Don't even register to vote!
They expect us to vote to keep the guise of democracy up and running. We cannot, absolutely cannot stoop to their vile and corrupt level. If we don't vote we will be showing the system that we are no longer falling for their underhandedly cheap tricks! We are better than they are and we know it! Punish the system -quit voting! It's not like our votes matter anyways...

It is time to get out into the streets, We need to change the political terrain! It starts here.





MetaNationalism is the comprehension of a nation possessing some historical mission and taking conscious action to follow that path. -Alexander Slavros


Nationalism is quite passive, which is why it is often considered reactionary by falsely 'progressive' leftists; in fact, all moderate nationalisms, as we see them nowadays in the form of Social, National and Liberal Conservatism and even National Liberalism, embody a sort of isolationist fear--fear of immigrants, fear of losing a national culture to international finance, fear of liberalization, etc. Moderate nationalism thrives on fear and an instinctive reaction to foreign forces that prompts the nation-state to protect its culture. Some of these steps are authoritarian in nature,

and by extension progressive from our fascist/statist point of view, but they breed the wrong sort of response to foreign threats in our citizens. America is the perfect example, with our Tea Party reactionaries responding to the "un-American" policies of Obama. Those sort of people aren't true nationalists. Why? Because the only thing they do is defend and protect, never attack, never conquer. Conquest and offensives can be not only military/territorial in nature, but philosophical and existential as well. American Patriots must learn


to embrace expansionist ideals because they actually put our nationalist dogma into practice and most intensely affect our spiritual devotion to the nation--this can take the shape of exploring new art styles, new weaponry, new views on life. In other words, constant struggle to not only preserve the core of our national identity, but to defend and propagate it through sacrifice and action in the face of national identity, but to defend and propagate it through sacrifice and action in the face of new circumstances. New circumstances.

"Imagine there's no
Heaven
It's easy if you try
No hell below us
Above us only sky
Imagine all the people
Living for today

Imagine there's no
countries
It isn't hard to do
Nothing to kill or die for
And no religion too
Imagine all the people
Living life in peace



Don't I have
rights!?



'Fuck your rights, Hippy...
America First!

Progressivism -Really?

By UNSC Trooper

A perverse, yet widely-accepted tendency in current-day politics, is the widespread manipulation of the concept of “social progress” and its founding philosophy, “progressivism.” While it’s historically clear that the political apparatus that we now call democracy sprouted from progressive thought, which universally describes a will to change and revolutionize society, the very mechanics that hold it in place are rationally employed anti-progressive sentiments: that is, universal truths of human origin.

“Anti-progressive,” however, is a term utilized smugly by leftists infatuated with eternally stomping on the overshadowed bodies of concepts that, at least momentarily, hold their ideals in place.

Similarly to the monopolization of the moral right to propagate concepts of “liberty and freedom” in the political arena by liberals and conservatives, the latter have occupied enough territorial space in world politics to label the ideals pushed by their paper programs as “progressive.” A synonym for “sane, rational, common sense, irreproachable.” The true path for humans and nations to follow.

Progressivism of the left has become a veritable bastion of mass congregation for people who are genuinely preoccupied with advancing the capabilities of man to understand, educate, change and enlighten himself, to literally “look at the man in the mirror,” as a figurehead for the worldwide progressive movement once sang. But in order to detect the utter shallow, weak-legged idealism of the modern progressive wave, we have to look



into our own philosophy of life and draw the line between what comes as naturally acceptable to humans, and what seems to only be advocated as a means to destroy the individual physically, mentally, and sever the connections of his conscious self with his blood, what his blood represents, and how much traditional ways of life have meant and will always mean to him. It’s needless to say that almost any politically-wise person can point out the differences between conservatives, the people social fascists would normally be crammed alongside, and the well-intentioned and sweet-hearted progressives, donning their anti-fascist placards in attempts to appear as the moral alternative to present wars in the name of democracy and the nation-state’s interests. Their condemnation of violence as retaliation is but one of their hallmarks, however.

We mustn’t forget their advocacy of individual liberty from the State as a so-called God-given right (this is more accurately a view of the US right-wing, but liberals only slightly differ in this view by not asserting God as their master), their intentions to reform the criminal punishment system in favor of peaceful rehabilitation of those deserving penal service, and most importantly, their desire to shrink the interests of nations, lest the

preservation of workplaces for national citizens in the face of massive immigration fed by the border-anarchistic EU should hurt the emotionally-sensitive leaders of nation-states and prompt them to deem those actions as “xenophobic, racist, National Socialistic.” Be sure not to delve too deep into national conservatism—it might trigger the alarm of the Antifa’s quintessential justice bells. No more EU credibility for you, Mr. Sarkozy.

Let us overview the fundamental traits of general conservatism. As with almost any conservative current in the world (liberal, national, monarchist, etc.) the founding principles of organized conservatism strongly advocate a state that seeks to employ public or private resources into the general guideline of social order. This social order, by extension, awards a set of responsibilities and powers to law enforcement agencies, civilian organizations such as labor unions and civil rights groups, political institutions, the state apparatus and the armed forces. In other words, the necessary institutions to represent both governmental and civilian interests in a coordinated, stable state, be it democratic, national syndicalist/fascist/state socialist.

Considering this relatively simplistic scheme of echelons and responsibilities in society, we can quickly conclude that it is the blueprint of an archetypal, modern state. Outdated and rotting, the moderate Left may say. This, however, is the same Left that asserts increased rights for a certain category of a given national society: the workers, the unfortunate, the minorities. Their rhetoric is two-fold: on the one hand, the moderate leftists don't engage in revolutionary, subversive socialism, wishing to integrate left-wing ideas into the aforementioned conservative type of state, yet on the other hand, they attempt to reform the model of this state by appealing to justicialist feelings among the less-privileged, "misunderstood" factions of society. This is what they spearhead as "progressive" reform.

It is our stance as statist, fascists, nationalists, conservatives, or whatever you may want to call the statist Right, that we oppose liberalization in favor of maintaining the fully-functioning traditional ties that bind the state and society together. We are reactionaries because we react to the Left's reformism.

But we are progressives as well. Perhaps much more progressive than the leftists themselves like to fancy that their ideological corridor is the only progressive alternative to a degrading conservative establishment. Why are we more progressive than leftists? For the same reason a person following a rationally guided moral code, personal set of values and an authoritarian view on social relations will excel, prosper in general physical and mental health and experience the fruits of life on both sides of the proverbial lifestyle pond—personal freedom and personal duty—creating a balance that can represent the epiphany of rationalism.



Let's call this person "The Conservative." The Conservative will have been brought up in a home dominated by religious parents who exercise control on him from the cradle to the last days of high school, will instill the values of family, community, patriotism and freedom in him, and will use these values to further his personal career and morality. One may then ask, considering all the norms placed upon The Conservative: what is his freedom, what gives him pleasure and helps him escape from the stress of following his parents' values? Contrary to what the mass-media presents as "freedoms"—free travel across unlimited borders, free drug and alcohol use, freedom from the state, individualism, cosmopolitanism—The Conservative will exercise his freedom based on the degree to which he has earned it. A sense of balance will materialize once he is old enough to comprehend the function of society, a balance between what he deserves as an individual and the responsibilities he must bear in order to gain what he needs.

His responsibilities translate into the necessary and obligatory duty of all toward the traditions of the community they live in, for, if the community and traditions are disrespected and subverted in the

name of "individual freedom," there are no more individuals to speak of. There will simply be a multitude of irresponsible beings applying their own rules to the lands they occupy and the people they are close to. Not an anarchistic society, but certainly a rootless, deregulated, confused and disoriented group of persons that have no long-term schemes of social order and progress in mind. Consequently, his rights and liberties will have been earned: the liberty of personal conscience, the right to work, the right to a vacation, and many others. This is where the difference between conservative and liberal conceptions of progress becomes palpable.

The Liberal will advocate the holiness of private space in the face of the state. He sees the state as an apparatus designed to protect his rights, his opinions, his tolerance of others' opinions, and disregards the conservative factor in what we call progress: duty and responsibility. He will support relaxed prison sentences and conditions for those deserving more or less lengthy and intense punishment, he will support equal rights for those generations of immigrants that have not fully integrated into the national community, he will demand more rights for certain sections of society (women, immigrants, minorities,

criminals, etc.) for the simple fact that they've been "discriminated" and treated unjustly. But it is not our duty to warn The Liberal of his selective and divisive actions. He will learn, through life experience, that staring with watery eyes at an ostracized or otherwise "oppressed" group of citizens will not make him a freedom fighter or a progressive. He will be advocating the increased rights of individuals not yet ready to accept the equal benefits of rights and duties. The people he protects will lean in favor of one of the two (obviously the former) and will not accept both as a necessary step in the construction of a responsible, yet free, community, and therefore a step in the direction of a rational and just progressivism.

It's a sad, and indeed discouraging realization, that the left side of the political spectrum is littered with ideologies, theories, human rights groups, anti-nationalists and anti-fascists whose sole preoccupation lies with the creation of luxury and increased rights, constant modernization that will minimize the degree of human effort to an almost insignificant level, and will undermine the essence of human affinity toward one's national kind, culture and community. To make things worse, they act in the name of "progress" and create confusion between what true progress means and the degenerate message of peace, love and globalism that they convey.

What kind of "progress" will opening the borders to cheap labor bring? It'll gut the working force of nationals in favor of non-natives who, despite honestly wandering about the globe in search of better lives, are willing to depopulate their own countries for the sake of personal career-building. What kind of "progress" will soft prison sentences bring? If you want a criminal to not even realize, through force, that the

illegality he committed stood against the values of his community, you could simply send him home with a nice, soft pat on the shoulder and a few kind words—that'll definitely turn him into a responsible citizen once more! What kind of "progress" will increased personal liberty from the state ensure? Personal liberty is simply incomplete, incapable of standing alone without strongly connecting itself with communitarianism.

Left-wing progressivism creates weakness, not progress. By turning to the illusion that humans will automatically better themselves if they're provided greater liberty, more rights and tolerance of those whose respect for community, duty and responsibility are questionable, they will only reverse the tide of progress and provide a snake with a comfortable nest and an endless supply of wildlife to eat with no practical effort of hunting.

Almost all events of historical importance, and that we nowadays regard as points of reference to the general evolution of progress, have been realized with increased social effort and a denial of one's own pleasures in front of a workable ideal: the conquest of the Americas, the Moon landings, the conquest of space. They have been made possible by the ambitions and patriotism of a few nations and their individuals. It is much more alarming to me, now that I'm writing these words down, to determine that the definition of progress has been completely hijacked and rewritten by liberal vanguards to accommodate reforms of the degenerate type I have mentioned before.

Social progress has nothing in common with liberal efforts to glorify the discriminated and extend civil rights irrationally.

Start with a whip and a fist. Then you may consider the matter of rights and liberties. That is what makes progress possible.



In order for your vote to affect the outcome of an election, you have to cast the deciding vote... in a swing state. What are the chances you're in the swing state that decides an election? Its greater for states like California with 55 electoral votes than Alaska with 3. For purposes of our calculation we'll assume that each state has equal probability, so you have a one in fifty chance of living in that state (doesn't make a difference) every 4 years about 100 million Americans vote for a president, if you vote you're one.

On average you'll be one of the 2 million voters in your state, even in Alaska you'd be one of three – hundred-and-eleven-thousand. Let's bias it towards the pro-voting side and say one out of a million. What's the probability of your state being evenly split without your vote?

The equation $p=3.64/N$ where N is the number of votes cast. So, the chances of you casting a deciding vote are about 1 in 300,000. Since we agreed you have a 1/50 chance of being in that swing state, the chances rise to 1 in 15 million. To have a 50-50 chance at this, you'd have to vote in every single presidential election for the next 60 million years.

In short, you have a better chance of being struck by lightning twice next year...

As we saw in the 2000 election the vote can be nowhere near that close and still be decided by the supreme court. Any rationale preposterous.


for voting based on changing the outcome of the election is therefore specious and When you vote you're essentially saying what they're doing is "ok." This is why I scream the message, "DON'T FUCKING VOTE!" All you're doing is voting for someone who is morally obligated to carry on the popular vote by voting for the party that the people he is representing favored. And who is making sure he votes for the politician you told him to vote for? No one...



The Black Nation State

Anonymous

The multiracial composition of the American population is an issue that must be realistically addressed by the Third Position community. On one hand we have the racists that advocate either the liquidation or subjugation of African communities, and on the other hand we have the idealists that hope for an integration of the minorities into a new national culture. The racist's tired theories are based on insecurities and pseudo-science. It is hardly worthwhile to entertain them. Anything less than complete and ruthless liquidation of the African race in America would create a million-man strong fifth column in the heart of our nation, and even a competent embrace of the radical position would be impractical, regardless of your stance on the morality of slaughtering millions.



Such an endeavor would occupy thousands, if not millions, of Americans in a wholly destructive task. These Americans could have otherwise spent this time and effort contributing to the repair of our dilapidated infrastructure.

Furthermore, this policy would destroy any soft policy the US had for at least a generation. This would mean all foreign policy goals would require the sacrifice of either blood or gold. Such a state of affairs would be extremely taxing on the American economy and military.

The integralists, however, can hardly claim to have a firmer grounding in reality. They argue for the forging of a national culture despite the fact that this has been the policy of the American state for nearly fifty years. While it may be argued that the liberal, as opposed to national, tint of this integralism proved a fatal flaw one need only look at the non-ideological aspects of integralism to grasp its utter failure. Africans are still economically marginalized and under-educated. Some of the staunchest integralists may point to the position of Jews in the Kaiserreich. While they are correct in their assessment that monumental national success of unification broke down sectarian barriers, national integralists miss the fact that for most Jews

integrating into German culture was as easy as a baptism and a name change. Africans, however, do not have that option. Africans have already adopted many of the material aspects of our culture, but they will forever be identifiable as "others." Multiracial states are always much more heterogeneous than America and are typically forged in a bloody struggle to rid the state of a small, and typically European, ruling class. Therefore, the integration of Africans into a national culture is a pipe dream.

Given America's multiracial make-up, the notion of a separate African nation should be entertained. Africans make up approximately twelve percent of the population, so they would be accorded about an eighth of American territory. There are ways this could be accomplished while maintaining the contiguity of the US. The largest concentrations of Africans are in the southern Mississippi River Valley and South Carolina. An obvious, but controversial, solution would be to create African states in both of these areas. Africans might feel they are being divided and manipulated, and Southerners might feel threatened being sandwiched between two African nations. However, this solution would require the least population transfers - something

that would certainly need to be minimized at all costs lest this separation become as bloody as the Indo-Pakistani separation. The other options would be the creation of a greater Carolina or a greater Mississippi Valley state. Both would require substantial population transfer, but would create a contiguous state for Africans in America. I would prefer the Carolinian option to the Mississippian, as it would create a state at the corner of the US. This would facilitate the state's ability to chart a course independent of America, and minimize the harmful effects of the state falling into the orbit of a foreign power. Furthermore, a Carolinian state would provide natural borders in the form of the Atlantic Ocean to the east, the Roanoke or Appamattox River to the north, and the Appalachian Mountains to the west. Such features will contribute to the state's legitimacy and make it clear that it is a natural response to the America's racial situation.



Arnold Toynbee Revisited

America has been made the great country that she is by a series of creative minorities; the first settlers on the Atlantic seaboard, the founding fathers of the Republic, the pioneers who won the West. These successive sets of creative leaders differed, of course, very greatly in their backgrounds, outlooks, activities, and achievements; but they had one important quality in common: all of them were aristocrats.

They were aristocrats by virtue of their creative power, and not by any privilege of inheritance though some of the founding fathers were aristocrats in the conventional sense as well. Others among them, however, were middle-class professional

men, and Franklin, who was the outstanding genius in this goodly company, was a self-made man. The truth is that the founding fathers' social origin is something of secondary importance. The common quality that distinguished them all and brought each of them to the front was their power of creative leadership.

In any human society at any time and place and at any stage of cultural development, there is presumably the same average percentage of potentially creative spirits. The question is always: Will this potentiality take effect? Whether a potentially creative minority is going to become an effectively creative one is, in every case, an open question.

The answer will depend on whether the minority is sufficiently in tune with the contemporary majority, and the majority with the minority, to establish understanding, confidence, and, cooperation between them. The potential leaders cannot give a lead unless the rest of society is ready to follow. Prophets who have been "without honor in their own country" because they have been "before their time" are no less well-known figures in history than prophets who have received a response that has made the fortune of their mission.

This means that effective acts of creation are the work of two parties, not just one. If the people have no vision, the prophet's genius, through no fault of the prophet's own, will be as barren as the talent that was wrapped in a napkin and was buried in the earth. This means, in turn, that the people, as well as the prophet, have a responsible part to play. If it is incumbent on the prophet to deliver his message, it is no less incumbent on the people not to turn a deaf ear.

It is even more incumbent on them not to make the spiritual climate of their society so adverse to creativity that the life will have been crushed out of the prophet's

potential message before he has had a chance of delivering it.

To give a fair chance to potential creativity is a matter of life and death for any society. This is all important, because the outstanding creative ability of a fairly small percentage of the population is mankind's ultimate capital asset, and the only one with which Man has been endowed. The Creator has withheld from Man the shark's teeth, the bird's wings, the elephant's trunk and the hound's or horse's racing feet. The creative power planted in a minority of mankind has to do duty for all the marvelous physical assets that are built into every specimen of Man's non-human fellow creature. If society fails to make the most of this one human asset, or if, worse still, it perversely sets itself to stifle it, Man is throwing away his birthright of being the lord of creation and is condemning himself to be, instead, the least effective species on the face of this planet.







Part of being a Fascist, is being realistic and accepting the truth no matter how bitter it may taste. That being said, I will know say what most already know. Americans have gotten dumber and are still declining in intelligence. Creativity is merely non-existent all anyone does is take something, put a twist on it, and make it their own. We're all guilty of this to some extent (I mean I'll come out with, the graphics in this journal show I rip off everything I can.

The reason why this is a bit of a problem is because the masses and leadership have lost the capacity of creative thought and leadership. Has any new idea for the glory of our nation actually been come up with outside of Reaktion? No! On top we have business as usual politicians who could really give a fuck less what happens so long as they get paid 100k a year with kick-ass benefits. On the bottom we have a mass of people who think that football and American Idol are the pivots of American culture. Throw the 9-5 monotony of a boring day job and what do you have? The Nietzschean *Last Man*.

We need to go on the offensive and begin attacking the plastic pseudo-culture that has come to poison America. We must show the degeneracy of it all, this is war! When we do this we become that creative minority. And through virtue of our Nationalistic spirit the masses will have no choice but to accept us, they really don't want to admit to themselves that idiot-box and cliché music. We do not have the vast frontiers to conquer as our Fore Fathers did. But do we have the frontier of media. And it is through this that shall we propagate our Aristocratic spirits. We will become that ultimate capital asset Toynbee spoke of.

Inactivity is death, the human is a creature of creation, but the Fascist is a creature of national creation.



*Dying Swans twisted wings
Beauty not needed here
Lost my love, lost my life
In this garden of fear
I have seen many things
In a lifetime alone
Mother love is no more
Bring this savage back home*

*Wilderness house of pain
Makes no sense of it all
Close this mind dull this
brain
Messiah before his fall
What you see is not real
Those who know will not tell
All is lost sold your soul
To this brave new world*

*A brave new world
In a brave new world
A brave new world
In a brave new world*



LEFTIST PSYCHOLOGY: DELUSION IN DISGUISE

-Michael Parish

The liberal mind cognizes deductively, albeit having internalized its own strawman reasoning. Expressed abstractly it goes something like this-

1. Policy A is intended to advance the interests of group B.
2. Person C opposes Policy A.
3. Therefore, Person C opposes the societal advancement of group B.
4. Person C is therefore an "ist" or "phobe" regarding group B. This line of thinking is applied to all objects of discourse.

Opposing-

1. Affirmative action = "racist"
2. Abortion = "sexist"
3. Gay marriage and/or adoption = "homophobe"
4. Mass immigration = "xenophobe."

These are then added together and deduced to the following equation-

1. Conservative and/or non-left thought opposes affirmative action, abortion, gay marriage and adoption, and mass immigration.
2. Affirmative action, abortion, gay marriage and adoption, and mass immigration are necessary for the societal advancement of their corresponding groups.
3. Therefore, conservatives and non-leftists oppose the societal advancement of those groups.
4. Therefore, conservatism and non-leftism are not ideologies but discursive covers for straight white males seeking to maintain their own supposed privilege while suppressing others.

This serves a dual function for the leftism, which likewise is deduced from a starting point-

1. Conservative and non-leftist views are not an actual ideology but covers for bigotry.
2. Therefore, the leftist is exempt from having to engage in actual debate with conservatives and non-leftist.

And-

1. Conservative and non-leftist arguments against liberal positions are actually arguments in favor of restoring past bigotries and inequalities.
2. Therefore, the leftist's positions are exempt from conservative and non-leftist criticism.

From this reductionist deflation of conservative claims is deduced the leftist's own self-image-

1. Conservatives are societal deviants seeking to perpetuate bigotry and inequality.
2. Leftists oppose conservatives and their agenda.
3. Therefore, leftists are societal heroes fighting against societal deviants and their bigotry and inequality.

And from this we derive the leftist conception of the socio-political realm-

1. All politics is a good versus evil struggle between left-wing heroes and right-wing villains.
2. As the heroes, the Left and its policies are exempt from criticism and need not engage in actual debate.
3. As the villains, the Right and its policies deserve nothing but criticism and need not be actually debated.

It becomes obvious, then, that-

1. The modern Leftist adheres to a false paradigm constructed through the use of deductive logic that starts with false premises and ignores all actual facts and information.
2. Adhering to a false paradigm constructed using this method is a delusion.
3. Therefore, modern Leftism is not a real ideology but a delusion, or mental illness. It's plain to be seen who the truly irrational, unreasoned, and bigoted folks are in this place.



The American Symbol

-Kacen

I find it incredibly **unsettling** and **insulting** that you will find the Eye on the Pyramid more often within the context of the "Illuminati", "New World Order", and various other conspiracy nonsense than you'll find it in the context of the U.S.A.

I have no idea when exactly the tinfoil hat retards hijacked this symbol as an emblem for everything evil in the world (that and the Magen David), but I'm getting sick and tired of it.

Let's get the facts straight:

Novus Ordo Seclorum in Latin translates to **NEW ORDER OF THE AGES!** It does NOT mean "New World Order"! No, this isn't a simple matter of translation, you cannot separately translate Novus Ordo Seclorum as "New World Order"; in fact there is a specific way to say New World Order in Latin: Novus Ordo Mundi. Ask anyone who knows Latin and they will tell you this.

The phrase Novus Ordo Seclorum is taken from an old Roman poem by Virgil, and within the context

of the United States' great seal it refers to a "New Country" ...nothing more!

Annuit cœptis in Latin means "He favors our undertaking", referring to the Supreme Architect. The Eye of Providence is a symbol historically used by Deists, Freemasons, and Christians. It is, in general, a symbol that denotes a "higher power" of some sort. Now, the symbol is rarely used by Christians anymore, and in the Christian context it is rather archaic.

On top of that, the Eye of Providence was only officially adopted as a Masonic symbol AFTER the design of the Great Seal. Now I won't deny that Freemasons probably used the symbol beforehand, but really, I honestly don't think it matters.

Many of the founding fathers were Freemasons and Deists. George Washington was a Freemason, Benjamin Franklin was both a Deist and a Freemason, Thomas Jefferson was a Deist and most likely a Freemason, and many others were Freemasons. If it wasn't for Freemasons, the United States would not exist.

So the next time one of you American "patriots" start demonizing Freemasons, just remember you have them to thank! You should be fucking ashamed of yourselves!

Of note, however, is that the only person on the design council for the back of the Great Seal that was a Freemason was Ben Franklin, and his design was rejected. His design was related to The Exodus.

Within the context of the seal, the Eye of Providence represents the Deist God overseeing the construction of the new nation ("He favors our undertaking").

Now the pyramid itself, while it is not confirmed, most likely represents "everlasting"...what is something that is very old but still exists? The Egyptian pyramids! So it is a fitting symbol. Of note is that while as I said before the Eye of Providence is used by Freemasons, the Eye of Providence combined with an unfinished pyramid, or pyramids in general, are **NOT** Masonic symbols.

The fact that it is unfinished represents that the United States is not yet complete (and it seems that holds to this day...).

The 13 steps represent the **13 Original Colonies**. The Obverse of the Great Seal contains the number 13 scattered about as well; 13 olive branches, 13 arrows, 13 feathers on the eagle, etc. The 13 stripes on the flag are commonly known to represent the 13 original colonies, but it seems common sense is lost when people see 13 everywhere else in American symbolism.


For one to arrive at the conclusion that the number 13 in American symbolism relates to anything other than the 13 colonies, one would have to be paranoid beyond belief.

Of note is that the number 13 has no significance in Freemasonry.

Finally, the Roman Numerals MDCCCLXXVI stands for 1776, the date of our independence.

Another claim I've heard is that the Eye on the Pyramid is a monetary symbol since it features so prominently on the American one dollar bill.

Well name a country that doesn't display national emblems on it's money and get back to me.



It's worth noting that specific groups such as the Illuminati did indeed exist.

In the 1700's there was a group in Bavaria calling itself the Illuminati; it's goal was to further the enlightenment and bring secularism to Europe. Nothing to do with taking over the world, and no relation to the United States Great Seal, other than some enlightenment connections.

So conspiracy retards, now that you know all the facts, let's say we find a **new** symbol for the "New World Order", shall we?



It's Ours Now!

The Square and compass was adopted by our masonic Fathers as a guide of perfection of our Empire. Its meanings in the holy art of sacred geometry are numerous it measured the golden ratio which has always been a sign of aesthetic perfection and sheer strength. Strength of the building blocks that built our nation and was baptized with the blood of patriots and tyrants, the wine of wealth, the crop of prosperity.

The soul of all that is Americans resides in its shape and that soul as withered and decayed and fell out of the angles of perfection into a world of decadence glamorized by the traitors that reside in the very white house who's construction was guided by it. It is valor, integrity, pride, strength, and our NATION.

We must adopt this symbol as our own. It can be our swastika, our hammer and sickle, our iron cross, our flash and circle!

Strong men broke the chains that kept us enslaved by foreign powers with these tools of measurement in their minds. Masonic regalia was worn by Washington in the battle field. It doesn't matter what other countries or secret societies used the square and compass, because we are the Iron Youth of America, the only true patriots, we will steal it for ourselves. We must measure our actions to the square of honor and patriotism, circumscribe our desires and keep our passions within due bounds toward the Nation.

It must be on blue armbands, badges, and patches. It must be within a circle of 50 stars on a new American Flag. A trace of it should be painted on buildings and thrown on fliers to be distributed to the masses. Flashes, circles, hammers, etc are all foreign.



But the square and compass is on our national life blood.

But why stop at the Square and Compass?

The all seeing eye represents providence gazing down upon us waiting for us to regain our place under the sun. It looks into our minds and hearts to ensure the purity of our thoughts and deeds as we carry out the National Revolution. Why must bullshit conspiracy theories hold us back from adopting the symbols of our fathers? Fuck that! They're ours now!

Fascism Forward! - Annuit Coeptis!

**WE DO NOT DENY THE ANIMAL OF OUR NATURE
WE WHO YEARN TO PRESERVE OUR LIBERATION
WE WHO FACE THE DARKNESS IN OUR HEARTS
WITH A SOLEMN FIRE WE WHO
ASPIRE TO THE TRUTH AND PURSUE ITS STRENGTH
ARE WE NOT THE UNDISPUTED PROGENY OF WARFARE
FEARING ALL THE MEDIOCRITY THAT THEY POSSES
SHOULD WE NOT HUNT THOSE BASTARDS DOWN WITH OUR
MIGHT
REINFORCE THE THRONE WHICH IS RIGHTFULLY OURS
CONSIDER THEIR PROGRESS
WE COULD BE WITHOUT ITS GRACE ONCE AND FOR ALL
DIMINISH THE SUB-PRINCIPLE OF ALL ITS TOXIC TRACE
ONCE AND FOR ALL**



The Fascist Dictum (What is a Fascist?)

-Seth Tyrssen

The American Fascist Party is quite easy to understand, for one basic reason: unlike most other political groups or philosophies, we say what we mean. It's that simple. We are not a secret cover for anyone else, or any other viewpoint.

Fascism is American, and all Americans shall be American Fascists—we welcome any citizen of any race or background. We encourage everyone to take pride in their heritage, their traditions, for we know full well that we are but a link in a great chain which stretches from the distant past to the future unseen. But we are Fascists first, and we are Americans foremost.

We are revolutionaries, because we can see all too clearly that it is time for a new American revolution. The ideals that founded this country have all but vanished, betrayed by self-seeking profiteers whose only interest was personal power. It is the finance-worshipping philosophy of capitalism which is responsible. Every one of us favors free enterprise, wherein anyone can rise to the best of his or her ability. But capitalism is literally the worship of money, the lust which drives some people to rise by any means, including the dishonorable and evil. It is the philosophy which created the robber barons of the 1920's and 1930's. It is the philosophy which sent thousands upon thousands of young American men and women to their slaughter, in foreign wars which were fought, not for some noble purpose as they were told, but for long-term profit.

But first and foremost, a Fascist is a creature of raw courage, who does not fear to stand alone against many; who risks all in

order to oppose the evils which surround and threaten us. It is not easy or convenient to be a Fascist, and for the family man, the working man or woman, it is dangerous. Those who first founded this nation knew those feelings of dread quite well, when they risked their "lives, their fortunes, and their sacred honor" to create a country based on new and radical principles.

So do we, now.

We take up that same radical spirit, and dare to put a stop to the madness which governs our lives and our nation. We dare to think the unthinkable: that an end to war with all its horrors can be done now, in our lifetimes.

That a true brotherhood of man shall be forged, not based on the dividing concepts of ethnicity or regionalism, but by the iron dream of Fascism, which guides us into a great and productive future. That we can coexist on this small planet with others, without losing our national identity, our honor, our autonomy. The so-called United Nations is nothing of the sort, and only serves to encroach upon the rights of the free American. A new and honest understanding must be reached, and that can only be accomplished with a Fascist America.

So, what is a Fascist?



He is often out of step with kin and neighbors, for he sees a vision of the future, and strives to make that vision a reality. He is a lone hero, fighting against injustice. He is the one who suddenly finds that there are others, all with the same great vision: an end to the Tyrant Regime and the beginning of a new age for America. And though the concepts are herein stated in the masculine, never forget that the great Fascist nations of the past have all had dedicated and prominent participation from the women as well. The first true feminist was Eva Peron.

A Fascist is intelligent, but not deluded by the wiles of pseudo-intellectualism which would leave him mentally hamstrung and unable to act with conviction in the real world. A Fascist declares, "Forward, to Action!" when others will sit around wondering what to do. And a Fascist takes seriously the ancient oath of Spartan warriors, who declared that they would return in victory – "with their shields" – or die fighting for what is right.

A Fascist has risen above common ways of thinking, risen above mere material pursuits. A Fascist is proud of their personal honor, and their loyalty; indeed, their fanatical dedication to the cause and the Party, knowing that in doing so, they set their stamp upon the history of the world.

What is a Fascist? A Fascist is the man and woman of the future. A future where technological advance is not governed by weapons of mass destruction, but of mutual outreach, learning the secrets of the cosmos and reaching out to the stars, putting an end to the destruction of natural resources at home and truly being good stewards of this world whose care is temporarily entrusted to us. All those utopian ideals of science fiction, we shall create in reality.



We are the New Nation. We are the Future. We are Fascist.



The American Fascist Party was founded by Seth Tyrssen, the Night the US was forced into a war with Iraq.

The Party was unique for America, a Fascist Party that wasn't racist? This came as a shock to those to who discovered it, it came as a shock to me and this is combined with other research prompted me to become a supporter as well as many others that would go one to forge the Iron Youth in America.

The Party existed for 5 years and it was plagued by the same things that have hindered our comrades in Europe; incompetence, bad luck, keyboard commandos, and lack of funds.

Tyrssen at the time was in his late 50s, and most of the membership was around the same age, take ten years off in some cases. What Youth they did have were finishing up college and could not squeeze enough minutes out of the day for the cause.

The first wave of American Fascists were just as diverse ethnically as they were ideologically. Pretty much any school of Fascist

thought could be found within their ranks as well as Christian Puritans in BlackShirts, GOP-heads, Tea Baggers, and all their ilk.

They did have a newsletter, they did try contacting Friends of Mosley for support but FoM didn't take them seriously as the AFP had to many incompetent cretins in their ranks, example when the Party peaked at 500, 20 on the roster were active. And the ones that were active were generally the ones on top.

All this lead Tyrssen to resign and he handed the reigns over to Mike Cessna, and guess what? Cessna could not lead due to personal issues.... Fail... Around this time the veterans in the AFP were for the most part all overseas and due to the inactivity they were essentially left out to dry. The American Fascist Movement also merged with the AFP but this proved to little, to late. The Reigns were handed over to another man, David Hayes within a month of Cessna's title of Leadership.

Hayes proved the death of American Fascism with his Tea-Baggery Faggetry he grabbed on to Sarah Palin's coattails and began mobilizing the 6 people left on the American Fascist Party's yahoo group into a radical direction of paleo-conservatism.

This is where the party stops. Surely the six that remained fell of the map (one of them decided to start a flame war and call Hayes out on his GOPish Capitalism and then started a journal called Reaktion!)

The American Fascist Party was short lived but it wasn't without a victory or two, that being Tyrssen's book (although it can be argued that the book would have appeared regardless of the Party.)

The only other victory was, it was the first attempt of Americans coming together to build a type of Fascism specifically and wholly American. Regardless of how Fascist they may or may not have been in sure did get the cogwheels turning in the minds of some individuals. And it is no coincident that the those kids who lurked on the AFMs forums and kept contact with Tyrssen decided to redeem the party.

The failure of the AFP did spawn some advantages for us that nicely compliment the advantages we already have.

First, the AFP was rushed. It is admirable that it was formed the night war was declared but there wasn't too much planning behind it. They worked from the top down, not the bottom up.

With Reaktion we have the advantage of carefully and slowly building our Iron Youth culture and defining American Fascism while we formulate our political, economic, and cultural positions.


Most of the remaining Fascists in America are around the age of twenty this means that we have the energy to squeeze every second out of every hour out of every day of the week between work and/or school. This means we can dedicate some serious time to the cause with the energy to get a lot done.

We also have the advantage of growing with the movement and the movement will grow with us. A symbiotic relationship will surely form and we will be bounded to the movement for ever.

I see the Iron Youth producing more journals.

I see them flooding youtube with more and more propaganda. I see them in coffee shops, their rooms, everywhere meeting and calculating. I see them in the streets littering the masses with leaflets and fliers. I see the system falling. I see the Iron Youth as adults leading the Nation, yes! I see final victory! I see America rising from the ashes and becoming stronger then ever! In the end of current system, there will be Fascism from sea to shining sea!

Annuit Coeptis!




THE ONLY SOLUTION FOR AMERICA.

Authored by
the first
Party Leader
of the AFP,

"The New Fascism" examines the past,
comes of age in the present, and
morphs into the future. Available only
from Lulu.com.

Stop war. All war. Medical care for all. Close our borders and regulate immigration. End the rule of International bankers. End the rule of International bankers. Is it right wing or left wing? Real Fascism is neither; drawing the best from both right and left, it then goes far, far beyond either. If you think Fascism means to be a world-stomping bogeyman that squashes individual thought and initiative, you're in for a surprise. That's what our enemies say, and have been saying, since WW2. Now hear the truth! The light of Fascism will free you forever from the confusion that dominates this country. Written by the first Leader of the American Fascist Party, Seth Tyrssen, The New Fascism is highly readable for the average man or woman, because it is the political philosophy for everyone who's sick of politics. Don't vote? Good for you. You may already be a Fascist. Better find out now, while you still can. Tomorrow may be too late!

1



1